

216

TEK RAM DAHIYA

..Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present Mr. Ishnoor Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advocate,
for respondents No.6 and 7.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition had been filed for seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.5 to take action and to complete the enquiry in a time bound manner against respondents No.6 and 7.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.6 and 7 contends that the present petition has been rendered infructuous as the enquiry in question has already been concluded and the orders have been passed by the competent Authority against respondents No.6 and 7 and they have already availed their statutory remedies against the said order.

In view of the aforesaid statement the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

July 05, 2023.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)

raj arora

JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No