

115

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-1871-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.01.2023

Jarnail Singh and ors.

... Petitioners

Vs.

Harbir Singh and ors.

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. B.S. Kanwar, Advocate
for respondents No.2 & 3.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners allege violation of the order dated 08.02.2019, in
which following observations were made: -

*“Pursuant to order dated 24.01.2019, Col, Mukul Khare, Station
Staff Officer (A), Army Station, Headquarter, Pathankot and also
Mr. Balraj Singh, Additional Deputy Commissioner are present
in the Court. After due deliberations amongst the counsels for
the respective parties, as also the officers present in Court, it is*

mutually agreed that until permanent solution, regarding providing of the road with 24 hours access, is found, the movement of the Civilians, through Military area, will be provided through gate No.6 on Sujanpur Road as the main entry point which shall be kept open for 24 hours. Col, Mukul Khare, Station Staff Officer (A), Army Station, Headquarters, Pathankot, also states that as per affidavit dated 28.09.2017, in addition, access will also been provided from gate at T point, which shall be kept open for all the villagers/residents from 7:00 A.M. To 7 P.M. He further states that depending upon the nature of emergency, the access from T point of the gate shall also be provided between 7:00 P.M. To 7.00 A.M.”

In view of affidavit of the Deputy Commissioner, Pathankot, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the petitioners to avail the alternative remedy, in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

18.01.2023
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No