

...PETITIONERS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Mr. Gagandeep Rana, Advocate for
Ms. Navneet Kaur, Advocate for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK GUPTA J. (ORAL)

2. This Court vide order dated 03.08.2023 had directed the parties to appear before the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send his/her report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Zira and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 29.08.2023 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties is voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R. following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and F.I.R. No.0038 dated 28.04.2021, registered under Sections 323, 188, 269, 149 and 427 of IPC (Section 452 of IPC added later on vide DDR No.031 dated 28.04.2021) at Police Station Makhu, District Ferozepur and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise qua petitioners, are hereby quashed.

November 29, 2023
manisha

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No