

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CRM-M-39699-2022

Date of Decision: 15.02.2023

Tarun

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Ms. Vipasha Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Raja Bahadur Singh Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashish Sharma, Advocate for
Ms. Sonia, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

On 21.09.2022, the following order was passed :-

*“The present petitions have been filed under
Section 438 Cr.P.C., for anticipatory bail in case
FIR No.23 dated 06.08.2022 under Sections 323,
34, 342, 406 and 498-A IPC, registered at Women
Police Station, Panipat.*

*Counsel for the complainant submits that
there is no possibility for a settlement between the
parties.*

List on 15.02.2023.

*Upon instructions, learned State counsel
submits that recovery is to be effected from the
petitioners.*

*Meanwhile, the petitioners shall join the
investigation on 28.09.2022 at 10:00 AM at P.S.
Women, Panipat and would come present as and
when called for and in the event of arrest, they*

shall be admitted to interim bail on their furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. They shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected matters.”

Learned counsel for the private respondent submits that the parties have compromised the matter and respondent No.2 has no objection if interim protection granted to the petitioner is made absolute.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Santosh submits that petitioner has joined investigation and no custodial interrogation is required.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 21.09.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

If the petitioner or his family members/associates make any attempt to threat/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted by this order.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case and trial Court shall proceed without being prejudiced by observations of this Court.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

15.02.2023
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>