

229

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision : 17.08.2023

1. CWP-20235-2020 (O&M)

Hukam Chand

...Petitioner

Vs.

The Chairman, Punjab State Power
Corporation Ltd. and others

...Respondents

2. CWP-5369-2021 (O&M)

Hukam Chand

...Petitioner

Vs.

The Chairman, Punjab State Power
Corporation Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Amandeep Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankush Aggarwal, Advocate
for the respondents-PSPCL.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA, J.(Oral)

This order will dispose of both the above said writ petitions as in
both the petitions, the petitioner is the same person.

In the first writ petition i.e. CWP-20235-2020, the petitioner has
prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the
letter/noting bearing Endorsement No.12081 and 13776 dated 26.10.2020 and
10.11.2020 (Annexures P-4 and P-7) respectively, written by Superintendent

(Establishment), being illegal and arbitrary and against the principle of natural justice. The case of the petitioner is required to be treated as special case under the RPWD Act, 2016.

In the second petition i.e.CWP-5369-2021, the petitioner seeks quashing of office orders bearing No.320 and 321 dated 24.02.2021 (Annexures P-3 and P-4) passed by respondent No.2, being illegal and arbitrary and against the transfer policy with regard to the physically disabled employee and as a result of malafide against the petitioner being approached this Court vide Writ Petition No.20235-2020 for extension of two years service under the persons with disability (Equal Opportunity, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that present petitions have rendered infructuous as during the pendency of the petitions, extension period i.e. two years has already been completed by the petitioner. Learned counsel further submits that the retiral benefits to the petitioner be directed to be released in accordance with law.

On the other hand, learned counsel representing the respondents- PSPCL does not dispute the above fact.

In view of the above, nothing survives for adjudication in the present writ petitions and as such both the writ petitions are dismissed as having rendered infructuous.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

17.08.2023

vanita	Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
	Whether Reportable :	Yes	No