

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(108)

CWP-16789-2023

Date of decision: - 04.08.2023

Yash Raj Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Panjab University and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Kanhiya Soni, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Kumar Goel, Advocate
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondent No.4 for giving the benefit in the attendance list from January to April/May, 2023 (Annexure P-2) published by respondent-University and to recalculate the lectures attended by the petitioner after including the benefits awarded through attendance benefit certificates.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievance raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 19.07.2023 (Annexure P-6) and he would be satisfied in case the competent authority of respondent No.1-University is directed to consider the said representation and if the pleas raised by the

petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, to grant him the appropriate relief.

3. Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.1 to 4-University has submitted that the competent authority of the respondent-University would consider the representation dated 19.07.2023 (Annexure P-6), filed by the petitioner, in accordance with the Rules regulating the admission and promotion of B.A./B.Com/L.L.B (Hons.) 5 Years Integrated Course (1-10 Semester) and the same would be done within a period of three weeks from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of the respondent-University to take into consideration the representation dated 19.07.2023 (Annexure P-6) within a period of three weeks from today and in case the competent authority is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the necessary relief be also granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible. In case the competent authority is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of three weeks.

August 04, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No