IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(238) **RA-CW-323-2019 in**
CWP-19388-2019
Date of Decision : 13.09.2023

Kuldeep and others

...Review-Applicants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shubham Kashyap, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Singal, Advocate for the Review-applicants.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

***

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

The present review application has been filed for review of the order dated 18.07.2019 on the ground that once the review-applicants have done training under Apprentice Act, they be given preference in appointment. When the writ petition was dismissed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the said plea has already been taken into account and rejected. Once the ground being pressed in the review application has already been taken into account while passing the order, the same can only be challenged in appeal and not in review.

As per the settled principle of law, the review can only be filed in case, the order is based upon the incorrect facts. In the present

Kanchan
2023.09.19 15:43
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this
order/judgment

review application, the view taken by the Court is being challenged that the same is not permissible by placing reliance upon the instructions of the Government. The said argument is not within the scope of the review, hence, no ground is made out to interfere in the order dated 18.07.2019 passed in the writ petition.

Dismissed.

It is made clear that in case, the review-applicants have any grievance with regard to the view taken by the Co-ordinate Bench in the order dated 18.07.2019, the review-applicants are free to avail appropriate remedy.

September 13, 2023 **(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**
kanchan **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

Kanchan
2023.09.19 15:43
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this
order/judgment