

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

227

CWP No. 24716 of 2018
Date of decision: 31.01.2023

Pooja

.....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.

Prayer in the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent to consider the petitioner for interview in the General Category as she has marks equal to the cut off marks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that against the Advertisement No. 3/2015, various posts of Trained Graduate Teacher (TGT)-(Science) Mewat Cadre were advertised. As the petitioner fulfilled the requisite qualification and has possessed Haryana Teacher Eligibility Test (HTET) certificate, applied for the post of TGT Science Mewat Cadre. The scrutiny of the documents were held on 30.08.2018, the petitioner was made to sign in the list of successful candidates. Thereafter, the notice of successful candidates for interview was displayed. Even though the petitioner had secured the cut off marks in general category i.e. 98 marks still she was not considered for interview.

Vide order dated 26.09.2018 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, while issuing notice of motion, the petitioner was allowed for interview provisionally and her result was ordered to be kept in the sealed

cover. On 23.01.2020, the result was called for and the same was perused by the Coordinate Bench of this Court and the State counsel was asked to apprise the Court as to whether the petitioner was assigned any marks towards experience upon scrutiny of documents.

Learned State counsel submits that she has received communicated dated 02/04.03.2021 from the office of the Secretary(Legal), Haryana Staff Selection Commission, Panchkula to the effect that no marks of experience has been given to the petitioner because the petitioner did not claim the same in the online application form and no document regarding experience was submitted by the petitioner even at the time of interview.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 24.02.2020, had asked the state counsel to apprise whether the petitioner was assigned any marks towards experience. As per the Ld. State counsel, the petitioner was not assigned any marks for the experience because the same was not claimed in her online application form nor any document was presented at the time of interview. Thus, no case is made out.

Dismissed.

31.01.2023

Rajeev (rvs)

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No