

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(229)

CWP No. 24694 of 2018
Date of Decision : 22.08.2023

Kramvir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Yesh Paal Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the petitioner has approached this Court raising a grievance that he has wrongly been ousted from the zone of consideration by treating him ineligible.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that provisionally, under the order of this Court, petitioner has already been allowed to participate in the selection process and he has competed in the entire selection process, hence, the respondents be directed to inform the provisional result of the petitioner so as to ascertain, whether the prayer of the petitioner survives or the same has been rendered academic.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that keeping in view the provisional result of the petitioner, he has secured 74 marks, whereas the last selected candidate in the category

in which the petitioner is competing, has secured 87.5 marks, hence, the petitioner has not been able to secure enough marks so as to get selected even if, the petitioner is to be treated eligible in all respects.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further but liberty be given to the petitioner to revive the present petition, in case any candidate having less than 74 marks has been appointed by the respondents.

Petition is disposed of with liberty, as prayed for.

August 22, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No