

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-26392-2017
Date of decision : 18.01.2023

Shingara Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.V.K.Sandhir, Advocate
for respondents no.5 to 8.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a civil writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent no.2 to act upon the complaints of the petitioner in accordance with law and proceed against respondents no.5 to 8.

Learned counsel for the respondents has pointed out that in the present case the allegations against respondent no.5 to 8 were primarily to the effect that no eviction proceedings have been initiated with respect to khasra no. 321 and 328 whereas khasra no.321 is owned by the private persons and as far as khasra no.328 is concerned, the eviction proceedings have already been initiated and the same are pending.

None had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 19.12.2018

and none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 14.05.2019. Even on

20.09.2019 no one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner and today also, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the case in case any cause survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

January 18, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No