

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.37727 of 2023
Date of decision: 14th November, 2023

Vikram Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Inder Preet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.81 dated 09.06.2022 under Sections 420 and 120-B of the IPC and Section 24 of the Immigration Act registered at Police Station Basti Jodhewal, Ludhiana.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 03.08.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that a fabricated version having been brought forth that a sum of ₹ 18,500/- had been transferred in the joint bank account of the petitioner as well as his grandfather stands belied from the fact that no such amount was ever deposited in his account. In support, learned counsel has drawn the attention of this Court to the

statement of accounts of the petitioner which has been annexed as Annexure P-3. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is not even remotely connected with the other co-accused as they are based out of Chennai. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petition has clean antecedents and is not involved in any other case much less a case of similar nature. He further submits that the petitioner is ready to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 03.08.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Malkeet Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 03.08.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

November 14, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No