

2023:PHHC:140665

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

CRM-M-37405-2023

Date of decision : 02.11.2023

Ranjit Singh @ Buta Singh**..... Petitioner****versus****State of Punjab****..... Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. While issuing notice of motion on 02.08.2023, following order was passed:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No. 115 dated 15.07.2023 for offences punishable under Sections 420 IPC, 1860 and Section 24 of Immigration Act registered at Police Station City Khanna, District Khanna, the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C seeking pre-arrest bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that even as per the investigation conducted by the agency it has been found that no money has been paid to the present petitioner and infact whole of the amount has been transferred to Simran Singh and thus, no offence under Section 420 IPC as well as Section 24 of Immigration Act would be made out against the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

2023:PHHC:140665

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Senior DAG., Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/State.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

To come up on 02.11.2023.”

2. Today, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Suraj Bir, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 02.08.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.
3. In view of above, the interim order dated 02.08.2023 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
4. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency, to investigate into the charges against the petitioner.
6. The petitioner shall be deemed to be in custody for the purpose of Section 27 of the Evidence Act, 1872 in regard to a discovery of facts made in pursuance of information supplied by the

2023:PHHC:140665

petitioner in case the occasion arises.

7. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move this court for a direction under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C. to arrest the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9. Disposed off accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

02.11.2023

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No