

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

241

CWP-20469-2020

Date of Decision: December 04, 2023

*Dr. Naresh Kumar**.....Petitioner(s)*

Vs.

*State of Haryana and another**.....Respondent(s)*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Roopak Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Ravinder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking writ of *Certiorari* for quashing the impugned order dated 19.10.2020, Annexure P-8, vide which the petitioner's claim for grant of arrears of salary as per the revised pay scales recommended by the UGC to equivalent cadres in University and Colleges, has been declined.

2. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the judgment passed by this Court in *CWP No.29153 of 2017*, titled *Pankaj and others Vs. State of Haryana and others* to contend that the petitioner, who is working as contractual Associate Professor in the University, is entitled to the relief claimed in terms of law

laid down in the judgment. He, however, concedes that the claim based on the judgment was never raised with the respondents at any point of time, and seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to make a representation to the University in that regard.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-University contends that in case such representation is filed by the petitioner, the same shall be considered and decided by a reasoned order by the Registrar in accordance with law within three months from the date of its filing.

4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-University, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the present petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

5. Ordered accordingly.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

December 04, 2023
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No