

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16619-2023

Date of decision:03.08.2023

DHARAM SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

JOINT DEVELOPMENT COMMISSIONER PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present: Mr. H.P.S. Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Monika Jalota, Sr. DAG, Punjab

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. A statutory appeal bearing No.000441 of 2022 is *subjudice* before the Competent Appellate Authority concerned. The said statutory appeal is directed against the verdict drawn by the learned Collector, Ludhiana, as enclosed in Annexure P-8, on a petition cast under Section 7 of The Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961. During the pendency of the above statutory appeal, an application for staying the operation of the execution of Annexure P-8 was instituted, but it is revealed from a perusal of Annexure P-10, that the longevity of the status quo order rather survived only upto 06.07.2022.

2. Be that as it may, since the statutory appeal as above stated is *subjudice*, therefore, the Competent Appellate Authority concerned, is not only directed to within a period of 2 months from today after hearing all affected persons make a lawful decision on the statutory appeal, but is also desired, that

the Competent Appellate Authority concerned, instead of making orders on different dates, whereby it deemed it fit to or not to extend the longevity of the status quo order, rather proceed to extend the longevity of the said status quo order, thus uptill a decision is made on the statutory appeal.

3. Disposed of accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

03.08.2023

Ithlesh

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No