

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(103)

CWP No.4884 of 2016

Date of Decision : 26.07.2023

Meena Malik

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed for the reimbursement of the medical expenses.

On the last date of hearing, learned State counsel had made a statement that all the amount spent by the petitioner has already been reimbursed to her and learned counsel for the petitioner had sought time to ascertain the said factual position.

Learned counsel for the petitioner today submits that he has not been able to contact his client so as to confirm the factum as to whether all the payments have been made to her or not.

Once a statement has been made before this Court that all the amount for which the petitioner was found entitled for under the Rules has

already been reimbursed to her, there is no material on record to disbelieve

the same. Still, as learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he wants to have instructions, the present petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous but with the liberty that in case the petitioner still has any grievance, she can either revive the present petition or to move a representation to the respondent for the redressal of the same and in case any such representation is made by the petitioner, the respondents will decide the same by passing appropriate speaking order within a period of four weeks of the receipt of the same.

July 26, 2023*jt***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether reportable : Yes/No*