

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

111

2023:PHHC:099507

CWP-16626 of 2023

Date of Decision: 03.08.2023

Amrinder Singh

---Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

---Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate
for Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Indresh Goel, Senior Panel Counsel
for Union of India

Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, DAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No. 2 to re-issue his passport.

2. An FIR No. 91 dated 08.05.2016, under Sections 323 and 325 of IPC was registered against the petitioner at Police Station, Nakodar, District Jalandhar. The police after completing investigation has filed its report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. before trial court. The matter is still pending before trial court.

3. As per Notification No. 570 dated 25.08.1993 issued by Central Government in exercise of power conferred by Section 22 of

Passport Act, 1967, an applicant seeking issuance of passport against whom criminal proceedings are pending is supposed to approach trial court and seek permission.

4. On being confronted with aforesaid Notification, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to approach trial court, in terms of aforesaid Notification.

5. The petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach trial court, in terms of Notification No. 570 dated 25.08.1993. The trial court is requested to decide application of the petitioner after considering Notification No. 570 dated 25.08.1993.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

03.08.2023

paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No