

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

224

CRM-M-37601-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.08.2023

Sonu Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Munish Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Baljinder Singh Virk, Sr. DAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.550 dated 06.09.2022, registered under Sections 407, 411, 201 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Mujesar, District Faridabad.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is in custody for the last about 5 months. Recovery stands effected from him. Co-accused Sandeep and Harish @ Muna have been granted bail by the Addl. Sessions Judge vide orders dated 28.03.2023 and 11.04.2023, Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively. Though charges were framed on 29.07.2023, however, none has been examined out of 15 witnesses. The petitioner is not involved in any other case.

3. The custody certificate dated 07.08.2023 filed by learned State counsel is taken on record, as per the same, the petitioner is behind bars for the last 4 months and 27 days.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that there are allegations against the petitioner of having stolen the aluminium slabs, out of which two were recovered. He is, however, unable to controvert the submissions regarding the stage of the case, co-accused having been granted bail and petitioner being not involved in any other case.

5. Heard.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 4 months and 27 days; not involved in any other case; co-accused have been granted bail; charges stand framed on 29.07.2023, there a total of 15 prosecution witnesses, but none has been examined; the trial is likely to take considerable time and thus his further incarceration would not serve any useful purpose, as such the present petition for grant of regular bail deserves to be allowed.

7. As a result, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned and subject to him not being required in any other case. The petitioner shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i). The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii). The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii). The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv). The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.
- (v). The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of

the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.

- (vi). The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii). The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number to the Trial Court forthwith and shall not change the same till the conclusion of the trial and in case for any reason, the petitioner seeks to change any of the aforesaid, the same shall be done only with prior intimation to the learned Trial Court, stating the reason for the same.
- (viii).The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix). The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

8. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

9. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as an opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

08.08.2023
S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No