

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

118

CRM-M No.37826 of 2023

Date of Decision: 03.08.2023

Bhaipal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner has invoked the jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C for seeking the issuance of direction to respondent No.6 not to conduct any further/third inquiry, in respect of the complaint as moved by his wife, i.e respondent No.8-Saranjit Kaur (here-in-after to be referred as 'the complainant'), while averring that the enquiry qua the said complaint has already been conducted twice and he has also prayed for directing respondents No.3 & 4 to ask respondent No.6 not to harass and threaten him at the instance of the complainant.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner in the present petition, at the preliminary stage and have also perused the file carefully.

3. Annexures P-1 & P-2 are the respective copies of GD Reports No.48 and 59 entered at Police Station Amargarh, District Malerkolta, on 29.08.2022 and 09.09.2022, as per the statements made by the complainant. Annexure P-1 pertains to an occurrence wherein the complainant allegedly suffered injuries at the hands of the petitioner and his family members on

27.08.2022 and as mentioned in Annexure P-2, the complainant had stated that she did not want any action on Annexure P-1 as the parties had arrived at a compromise. Annexure P-3 is the report regarding another complaint filed by the complainant on 04.11.2022 and it has been reported therein that the complainant stated that she wanted to pursue her complaint in the Court and that the afore-referred complaint be consigned. In these circumstances, it becomes explicit that both the above-said complaints, as moved by the complainant, had been consigned/filed, without concluding the enquiry into the allegations as levelled therein.

4. Further, Annexure P-4 is the application dated 25.07.2023, as shown to have been moved by the petitioner to respondents No.2 to 4 with the prayer to consign the third complaint filed by the complainant but he has not placed any material on the record, to show its final outcome or pendency. It is also pertinent to mention here that he (petitioner) has not even annexed the copy of the said third complaint with this petition, so as to facilitate this Court in ascertaining as to whether it relates to the same occurrences, as narrated in both the afore-mentioned previously preferred complaints or to a different one.

5. As a sequel to the fore-going discussion, it follows that the petition in hand, being *sans* any merit, deserves dismissal. Resultantly, the same stands dismissed accordingly.

03.08.2023
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No