

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(106)

CWP-25858-2017

Date of decision : 02.03.2023

Satpal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.S.Brar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the statutory Revision Petition dated 04.04.2016, Annexure P-1, which is stated to be pending before respondent No.1.

Pursuant to orders passed by this Court, State counsel has filed an affidavit dated 02.03.2023 of General Manager, Haryana Roadway, Kaithal – respondent No.2, which is taken on record, annexing therewith the opinion of the Deputy District Attorney that revision petition preferred by the petitioner is not maintainable as there is no such provision in the applicable Rules.

Faced with this development, counsel for the petitioner seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to challenge orders passed by the authorities by filing a fresh petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

02.03.2023
Pardeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No