

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16491-2023

Date of decision : 07.08.2023

Baljinder Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court praying for quashing the impugned complaint, dated 22.08.2022 made by respondent No.5, Annexure P-1, and impugned notice dated 18.05.2023, Annexure P-2, issued by respondent No.4.

It has been contended by counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is the son of Sarpanch namely, Surjit Kaur whose tenure was from 2008 to 2013. It is submitted that the impugned notice dated 18.05.2023 has been issued to the petitioner. It is further submitted that the mother of the petitioner has already completed her tenure and the allegations against the petitioner pertains to the tenure of his mother i.e. from 2008 to 2013.

Heard.

After hearing counsel for the petitioner and perusing the record, it is apparent that the impugned notice is the order from respondent No.6 to respondent No.4. Though there is no notice sent to respondent No.4-BDPO and not to the petitioner however, it is apparent

that no final action has been taken against the petitioner and thus, the petition filed by the petitioner is totally premature at this stage.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court finds that the petition is premature and the same is disposed of as such with liberty to the petitioner to pursue his remedies as available under the law.

07.08.2023
m. sharma

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No