

103.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25797-2017

Date of Decision: 10.03.2023

HARI CHAND

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajdeep Singh Cheema, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks to withdraw the instant writ petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action. It is contended that inadvertently, it could not be brought to the notice of this Court that the petitioner had initially filed a writ petition which was disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner to approach again. It is submitted that the reason for withdrawing the writ petition is that when the writ petition had been filed, the pendency of the earlier petition could not be brought to the notice of the Court and the writ petition of 2013 was disposed of during the pendency of these proceedings.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

10.03.2023

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No