

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

255

CRWP-8394-2022

Date of Decision: February 15, 2023

Vikas Manchanda

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Akasdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed seeking quashing of the order dated 01.06.2022 passed by respondent No.3, vide which the parole application of the petitioner was rejected.

Notices served upon the official respondent(s) through the State's counsel.

Learned counsel for the State has opposed the present petition on the ground that the jurisdiction of this Court qua parole is restricted only to arbitrariness.

At this stage, counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contented and satisfied in case, he may be permitted to file fresh application for parole and concerned Superintendent of Jail is directed to decide the same within time bound manner.

The prayer is genuine.

Given above, the present petition is disposed of with the liberty to the petitioner to file fresh application for parole within 15 days from today before the concerned Superintendent of Jail, who shall decide the same within two months from the date of its filing by passing a reasoned and speaking order without being influenced by the previous rejection.

Liberty reserved to the petitioner to come to this Court again in case need so arises.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

February 15, 2023

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

No