

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101/4

Civil Writ Petition No.25748 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 17th, 2023

Vikas Jain

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Akshay Kumar Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the alternative plot, which had been allotted to the petitioner, is acceptable to the petitioner and, therefore, he would not press the writ petition.

Counsel for the respondents acknowledges the fact that the alternative plot, which was allotted to the petitioner still continues in the name of the petitioner.

In the light of the above, the writ petition is disposed of as not pressed.

It would be open to the petitioner to approach the respondents for taking possession of the alternative plot, as has been allotted to him in accordance with the rules and regulations.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

January 17th, 2023
Puneet

**(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No