

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

229

CWP-23911-2018

Date of Decision: 17.07.2023

Ali Sher

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- None for the petitioner

Mr. Narender Kumar Vashist, Senior Panel Counsel,
for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226 and 227 of Constitution of India is seeking quashing of impugned order dated 04.04.2018 (Annexure P-1) vide which the petitioner was placed under suspension and order dated 01.08.2018 (Annexure P-11) whereby suspension period of the petitioner was extended by 180 days by respondent No.4.

2. Mr. Narender Kumar Vashist, learned counsel for the respondents-Union of India, at the outset, produced copy of letter dated 08.09.2022 passed by respondent-authority. The same is taken on record and Registry is directed to tag at appropriate place.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in view of letter dated 08.09.2022, the present petition has rendered infructuous.

3. In view of statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition is dismissed as infructuous.

Since, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, he is at liberty to move an appropriate application in accordance with law, if something survives.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.07.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>