

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

111

CRWP No. 7582 of 2023
Date of Decision: 02.08.2023

Dr. Soniya Goyal ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr.Sukhwinder Singh Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus for protection of life, liberty and property of the petitioner, who is being continuously harassed, threatened and maltreated by respondents No. 6 and 7.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not press the protection at this stage. However, he further submits that the petitioner be permitted to file a fresh representation and the said representation be decided in a time bound manner.
3. Let the petitioner represent the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police/ Commissioner of Police, within two weeks from today. If the petitioner files a representation with in the above-mentioned time period of two weeks, then, the same shall be decided by the concerned Senior Superintendent of Police/ Commissioner of Police, within two months, either himself/herself or by authorizing and delegating it to any officer holding IPS cadre. It is clarified that such order must be a reasoned order, and the same be communicated to counsel for the petitioner as well as representationists without delay.
4. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to file fresh petition or to take other legal remedies in accordance with the law.
5. It is clarified that there is no adjudication on merits. It is further clarified that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioner is required in any cognizable case.

6. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy. The concerned officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for immediate use.*

Petition is allowed to the extent mentioned above. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to seek protection, in case, need arises. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

02.08.2023
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.