

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(242)

CWP-23852-2018

Date of decision: - 16.02.2023

Ramji Lal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Robin Dutt, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.2 to take appropriate action against respondent No.5 ex-sarpanch of Gram Panchayat Tugalpur.

Learned State counsel has submitted that in the present case, respondent No.5 was appointed in the year 2010 and the tenure of the said Sarpanch has expired in the year 2015, thus, nothing survives in the present writ petition and the same has been rendered infructuous. It is further submitted that as per the report, respondent No.5 was found to be innocent with respect to the allegations made by the petitioner.

No one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the

above-said facts.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, however, the liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present writ petition or to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives.

February 16, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No