

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39153-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.02.2023

ANKUSH @ MONU

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Rakesh Nehra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Ankit Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Malik, Advocate for the complainant.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in the case bearing FIR No. 296 dated 09.06.2022 under Sections 307/34/120-B of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25 of Arms Act (added later on) registered at Police Station Civil Lines Sonapat, District Sonapat.

Custody certificate filed and the same is taken on record.

Learned Sr. Advocate for the petitioner contends that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case as the FIR has been registered against unknown person and petitioner has been arrested only on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused Narender and further contended the petitioner is in custody since 20.06.2022, investigation of the case is complete and challan already stands presented, who has clean antecedents.

On the other hand, learned State Counsel has opposed the aforesaid prayer, however, he suffered a statement that role of the present

petitioner only attracts Section 120-B of IPC and further submits that investigation of the case is complete and the challan stands filed.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the case file and custody certificate filed by learned State counsel, it is significant to note that the petitioner is in custody for a period of 08 months and 03 days, with no other criminal case against him. Investigation of the case has been completed and challan stands presented. Conclusion of the trial shall take considerable long time. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner in further incarceration.

As such, sufficient mitigating circumstances are made out to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner. Therefore, without making any expression of opinion on the merits of the case, it is ordered that the petitioner be released on regular bail subject to her furnishing requisite bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

The petition is allowed.

24.02.2023
Ajay Goswami

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No