

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

118

CWP-16612-2023
Date of Decision: 03.08.2023

D.R. FOODS LTD.

... Petitioner

VERSUS

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD.
AND ORS.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Govind Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate
for the respondents.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present petition has been raised to the order dated 05.12.2022 (Annexure P-11) vide which the claim of the petitioner for refund of over charged amount alongwith TDS has been rejected illegally and unlawfully.

After arguing at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the petitioner to take recourse to the alternative efficacious remedies available to him in accordance with law.

Disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty as aforesaid.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

AUGUST 03, 2023
rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No