

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-1827-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.07.2023**

Sunder Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Yashpal Yadav

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Himanshu Joshi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. B.R. Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Piyush Bamal, Advocate for the respondent.

Mr. Harsh Vardhan Sehrawat, AAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 05.04.2019, vide
which following directions were issued: -

*“Till the next date of hearing, recovery initiated pursuant to
notice/order dated 23.02.2019 (Annexure P-20) shall remain
stayed.*

*On the next date, respondent-Corporation will file a
detailed reply explaining as to how no audit objection was raised
in respect of payments, which were got released twice. This reply
be filed by senior most officer of the Corporation.”*

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in violation of the aforesaid order, some office order was passed for effecting the recovery.

Learned senior counsel for the respondent, however, submits that in fact, no recovery has been effected and the order passed by the writ Court is not violated and the same will be adhered to till final disposal of the writ petition.

In view of the above, present petition is rendered as infructuous.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the present contempt petition, if so advised.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

12.07.2023
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No