

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

104

2023:PHHC:101275

CWP-16964 of 2023

Date of Decision: 07.08.2023

Pawan Kumar

---Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

---Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Arvind Seth, Central Government Counsel
for Union of India

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking directions to respondents to issue his passport.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that petitioner vide application dated 20.1.2022 applied for passport and respondents on account of pendency of criminal case has declined application of the petitioner. The petitioner was convicted by Additional District Judge, Kaithal and petitioner preferred CRA-S-121-SB of 2008. Sentence of the petitioner was suspended vide order dated 3.3.2008 passed by this Court. Case of the petitioner is squarely covered by judgment of this Court in **Mohan Lal @ Mohna vs. Union of India and others, CWP No. 27167 of 2018.**

3. On being confronted with the aforesaid judgment, Mr. Arvind Seth, counsel for Union of India submits that application of the petitioner has already been closed and he may file fresh application which the respondents would decide in view of observations of this Court in **Mohan Lal @ Mohna (supra)**.

4. The instant petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh application which respondents would decide after considering judgment of this Court in **Mohan Lal @ Mohna (supra)**.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

07.08.2023

paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : Yes/No