

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(255)

CWP-20780-2021

Date of decision: - 25.04.2023

Shubham (minor) through his father Ravinder Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Central Board of Secondary Education and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Harinder Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate
for respondent No.1-Board.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to the respondents to make the necessary change in the name of father of the petitioner in matriculation certificate from “Ravinder Arora” to “Ravinder Kumar”.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that since in the birth certificate, name of the father of the petitioner is mentioned as “Ravinder Arora urf Ravinder Kumar”, thus, he would pray that even in the matriculation certificate the name be mentioned as “Ravinder Arora urf Ravinder Kumar” and that the entire issue with respect to changes/corrections to be made in the certificate issued by the Central Board of Secondary Education has been adjudicated upon by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in a detailed judgment passed in case titled as “Jigya

Yadav (minor) (through Guardian/father Hari Singh) Vs. CBSE (Central Board of Secondary Education) and others”, and other

connected matters reported as **2021(7) SCC 535** by a three Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and has prayed that the petitioner be permitted to file a representation keeping in view the parameters laid down in the abovesaid judgment and prays that the respondents be directed to consider the said representation and pass a speaking order within a period of six weeks from the date the said representation is received by the respondents.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1- Board has submitted that earlier prayer was made by the petitioner to change name of his father from “Ravinder Arora” to “Ravinder Kumar” and the new prayer made at this stage by the petitioner has not been considered till date and in case the petitioner makes any such representation, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of in the following terms:-

- i) The petitioner is granted liberty to file a detailed representation and annex all the documents in light of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Jigya Yadav (minor)'s case** (Supra). Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner would submit the said representation along with certified copies of the relevant record and would get the said representation forwarded through the school.
- ii) Respondent No.1 is directed to decide the said representation as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation, in

accordance with law.

5. Needless to mention here that it would be open to respondent No.1-Board to require the petitioner or the school to submit any document which would be required for finally considering the case of the petitioner.

April 25, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No