

CWP- 16499-2023 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP- 16499-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision:10.10.2023

Rohit Karwasra

... Petitioner

V/s.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present : Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate and
Ms. Saloni Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA, J.(Oral)

Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India by the petitioner for directing the respondents to declare the final result of the recruitment to 11 posts of Executive Officer Class-I and 21 posts of Executive Officer Class II in pursuance to advertisement/public notice dated 01.07.2015 ((Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that applications were invited for various posts by Department of Local Government and the

CWP- 16499-2023 (O&M)**-2-**

petitioner applied for the post of Executive Officer Class I, II and III respectively and common written test was conducted for all of them. The result of the said written test were not declared qua the posts of Executive Officer, Class I and Class II on account of the fact that CWP No. 16331 of 2015 was filed by certain Executive Officers, Class III who were claiming that the posts of Executive Officer, Class I and Class II are to be filled exclusively by promotion and could not be filled by way of direct recruitment. Notice of motion was issued in the said writ petition and stay was also granted on declaration of result vide order dated 20.08.2015, which was later on vacated. But despite the lapse of more than 01 year since the vacation of interim stay order and dismissal of SLP by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the result to the posts of Executive Officer, Class I and II has still not been declared by the respondent-Department. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 23.02.2023 (Annexures P-2), which is still pending consideration with respondents. He admits that the said representation is though undated and not addressed to respondent No. 2, who is the Competent Authority and undertakes to submit the fresh detailed representation to respondent No. 2 within a period of two weeks and that the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta,

AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and raises no objection in deciding the fresh representation (if submitted within two weeks) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in his fresh representation if filed within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the same by passing a speaking order within a period of six weeks thereafter.

Petition stands disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

10.10.2023
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes /No