

**CM-4835-CWP-2017 in/and  
CWP-3960-2016**

**-1-**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**(225)**

**CM-4835-CWP-2017 in/and  
CWP-3960-2016  
Date of decision: - 16.01.2023**

**Bhupinder Singh**

**....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and others**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Satnam Singh, Advocate  
for Mr. B.S. Jatana, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Naresh Chander, Advocate  
for Mr. R.V.S. Chugh, Advocate  
for the applicants in CM-4835-CWP-2017.

**\*\*\*\***

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate writ, orders or directions especially a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the impugned order dated 30.07.2015 (Annexure P-7) passed by respondent No.2 and the impugned order dated 09.06.2015 (Annexure P-6) passed by respondent No.3 vide which the extra supply of enhanced water to the garden owned by the petitioner was withdrawn, with further prayer for directing respondent No.4 to plant the fresh plants in garden.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Harmanpreet

Singh Pannu, Horticulture Development Officer, Budhlada, Mansa, has pointed out that vide letter dated 24.03.2017, the impugned order has been withdrawn and the permission has been granted to the petitioner to plant fresh plants in the garden.

In view of the above-said statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, however, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application to restore the present petition or file fresh petition in case any cause of action survives.

Pending application, if any, stands disposed of in view of the aforesaid order.

**January 16, 2023**  
naresh.k

**( VIKAS BAHL )  
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?  
Whether reportable?

Yes/No  
Yes/No