

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANIDGARH**

**CRM-M No.38882 of 2022
Date of Decision: 12.01.2023**

Raju @ Bhola

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R. S. Nain, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rahul Noorie, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

GURBIR SINGH, J (ORAL)

Reply by way of an affidavit dated 04.01.2023 of Sukhbir Singh, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Tigaon, District Faridabad on behalf of respondent No.1-State has been filed and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the State submits that challan has been presented, charges under Sections 279/337/429/506/307 and 201 IPC have been framed and out of 11 prosecution witnesses, only 03 were examined but the offences are non-compoundable in nature, which is mentioned in para No.8 of the reply dated 04.01.2023.

Para No.8 of the reply reads as under:

“8. That the offences being non compoundable in nature, the petitioner/accused is not entitled for any relief as prayed

for under Section 482 Cr.P.C. on the basis of compromise deed (Annexure P-2) due to the offences against the State/Society. Hence mere on the basis of compromise deed (Annexure P-2), FIR cannot be quashed. There is no justification for quashing the FIR under Section 482 Cr.P.C. at this stage and hence, deserves dismissal.”

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

12.01.2023

rittu

**(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No