

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(251)

CWP-23344-2018

Date of decision: - 19.01.2023

Barkha Ram and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. J.S. Saini, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ or order especially in the nature of prohibition to prevent respondent No.2 to proceed further with the impugned revision petition bearing ROR No.424 of 2017 titled as “Sahab Singh through his LRs and others Vs. Mehma and others”, preferred by respondents No.3 to 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of the reply filed by respondents No.1 and 2, more so, paragraph No.4, it is clear that the revision petition is maintainable before the Financial Commissioner and in the facts and circumstances of the present case, thus, prays that respondent No.2 be directed to decide the said ROR No.424 of 2017, as expeditiously as possible.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents has stated that they have no objection to the said course of action.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the above-said ROR No.424 of 2017 “Sahab Singh through his LRs and others Vs. Mehma and others”, as expeditiously as possible.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

January 19, 2023
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No