

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

269

2023:PHHC:044834-DB

CWP-19313-2022

Date of Decision: 27.03.2023

Manju Bala

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State Bank of India and others

....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH**

Present: Ms. Rahish Pahwa, Advocate,
and Mr. Saksham Dudeja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chandeeep Singh, Advocate,
for respondent-SBI.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the petition wherein, the challenge is raised to the order dated 28.07.2022 (Annexure P-1) whereby order was passed for handing over the possession of secured assets to the respondent-Bank by the Tehsildar under Section 14 of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest, 2002, failing which, possession would be taken with police assistance. On 01.09.2022, a conditional order of protection was passed by the co-ordinate Bench which reads thus:-

“Notice of motion for 03.11.2022.

Mr. Chandeeep Singh, Advocate has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2/caveator and filed memo of appearance, which is taken on record. He seeks time to file reply. May do so, on or before the next date of hearing with a copy in advance to the counsel opposite.

Subject to the petitioner paying a sum of Rs.7.5 lakhs to respondent No.1 within four weeks from today, the petitioner shall not be dispossessed from the secured asset. In default of compliance with this order, this order shall stand vacated.”

Counsel for the petitioner, very fairly submits that the needful has not been done. It is apparently in such circumstances, he wishes to withdraw the petition.

Ordered accordingly.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

27.03.2023
shivani

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes
No