

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

203

**2023:PHHC:084425
CWP-5912-2014 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 05.07.2023.**

SHASHI BALA SHARMA

..Petitioner

VERSUS

INDIAN OIL CORPORATION LIMITED AND OTHERS

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present None for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Rana, Advocate, for
Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

CM-9507-CWP-2022

The present application has been filed on behalf of the respondents seeking disposal of the writ petition as having become infructuous in view of the subsequent developments.

It has been averred in the aforesaid application that the petitioner sought quashing of the allotment of RGGL (Rajiv Gandhi Gramin LPG Vitrak Scheme) Distributorship in favour of respondent No.4

During the pendency of the writ petition candidature of the respondent No.4 was cancelled vide order dated 29.09.2014. The above said order was the subject matter of challenge by respondent No.4 herein in a separate writ petition bearing No.6110 of 2015. The petitioner was, however, selected as the sole candidate for the location in question and in accordance with the selection guidelines. Vide order dated 21.05.2015 status quo was ordered to be maintained. The matter has remained pending before this Court.

Vide letter dated 26.3.2022, the petitioner requested the Corporation to cancel her candidature and a request was also made seeking refund of the fee.

Notice of this application was issued on 11.07.2022.

Sh. R.S. Manhas, Advocate, had entered appearance on 30.08.2022 and apprised this Court that he had no instructions in the matter as the petitioner had taken the brief/papers from his office.

Notice was accordingly issued to the writ petitioner for 07.12.2022. On the said date, it was reported by the office that the notice issued to the petitioner had been received back duly served, however, no one entered appearance and in the interest of justice, the matter was adjourned to 04.04.2023. Even on the next date of hearing, there was no representation of the petitioner. It seems that the petitioner is no more interested in pursuing the present petition. The same is accordingly taken on board today itself.

Application stands disposed of accordingly.

Main case

In view of the reasons already recorded above, the present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Since the main case stands dismissed for non-prosecution, any other pending misc. application, if any, shall also stand disposed of accordingly.

July 05, 2023.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No