

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-2579-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.04.2023**

Anil Ahuja

... Petitioner

Vs.

Satish Ahuja and anr.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate for the respondents.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 14.03.2019 passed in RSA-1416-2019, vide which the parties were directed to maintain status quo as it existed on that day regarding the suit property.

It is alleged that the respondents are now raising construction in violation of the aforesaid order.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in fact, the petitioner and respondent No.1 are real brothers and civil suit was decided in favour of the respondents and even the first appellate Court also gave verdict in their favour and now the petitioner has filed aforesaid appeal.

With regard to violation of the order dated 14.03.2019, it is submitted that in fact, no construction is being raised by the respondents and it

is the petitioner himself, who is renovating the old construction over the property and by throwing the malba outside property of the respondents and taking photographs, he has filed the present contempt petition, though CCTV cameras are installed by the petitioner, which also reflect that no construction is raised by the respondents.

In view of the above, no willful disobedience of the order dated 14.03.2019 is made out.

Dismissed.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

11.04.2023
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No