

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CRM-M-37051-2023

Date of decision: 31.07.2023

Harbilas Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. C.S. Jattana, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to decide representation dated 01.04.2023 and legal notice dated 28.06.2023 (Annexures P-1 and P-3 respectively) and to register an FIR against respondent No.4.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a representation was given on 01.04.2023 (Annexure P-1) to the police authorities, however, it had failed to yield any response. Thereafter, a legal notice dated 28.06.2023 was also sent to the police authorities which also failed to yield any response. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his complaint.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 i.e.

Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, is directed to look into his grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 01.04.2023 and legal notice dated 28.06.2023 (Annexures P-1 and P-3 respectively), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors. : 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*.

31.07.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No