

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(310)

CWP-24840-2017

Date of decision: - 09.03.2023

Mahesh Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

**The Director Panchayat Haryana, Civil Secretariat, Panchkula and
others**

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the appropriate nature especially certiorari for setting aside the orders dated 10.08.2017 (Annexure P-1) and dated 16.08.2017 (Annexure P-2) passed by respondent No.3 whereby village panchayat has been directed to deposit back the amount of Rs.36 lakhs in the account of respondent No.3.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that in the present case, a new policy has been framed by the State of Haryana and thus, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

No one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said aspect.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of

as having been rendered infructuous, however, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present writ petition or to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives.

March 09, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No