

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222-A

CRM-M-37889-2020

Date of Decision: 31.01.2023

Rukmani

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The complainant (petitioner herein) has come up before this Court seeking cancellation of bail granted to the accused vide order dated 29.09.2020 by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad, on the ground that the accused is not cooperating to the investigator.

Counsel for respondent No.2 submits that he has no objection if this Court imposes stringent conditions upon respondent No.2.

Given the contention of respondent No.2 and the fact that this matter is pending from the year 2020 and there is no allegation that the accused threatened the complainant, one more condition is added in the order dated 29.09.2020 that the petitioner shall forward to the Investigator/SHO and the complainant/victim(s) the complete details of bank account numbers with addresses, fixed deposits, DEMAT account numbers, the current market value of jewellery, sovereign metals, all precious articles, held either individually or jointly, and cash-in-hand.

It is clarified that in case, the accused fails to comply with the newly added condition, it shall be permissible for the complainant to file a fresh application for cancellation of bail and this Court shall consider cancellation on this ground alone.

Petition is disposed of in the terms mentioned above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

31.01.2023

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/no

Whether reportable?

Yes/no