

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

110

CWP-16399-2023

Date of Decision: 31.07.2023

Gurmail Singh**...Petitioner**

Versus

Regional Passport Officer**...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present:- Mr. Navjot Singh Wahniwal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Himanshu Malik, Central Govt. Counsel,
for Union of India-respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondent to correct his date of birth and his father's name and re-issue the passport.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that correct date of birth of the petitioner is '18.11.1953' whereas in the passport '23.04.1952' has been recorded. The correct father's name of the petitioner is 'Ratan Singh' whereas in the passport 'Rattan Singh' has been recorded. The petitioner has already submitted all the required documents and time to time visited office of the respondent, however, it is not looking into his grievance. The petitioner is a 69 years old man and he has to travel abroad to meet his family members. The petitioner is not claiming any benefit from the respondent on the basis of changed

date of birth and father’s name, thus, no prejudice is going to be caused to the respondent.

3. Mr. Himanshu Malik, Advocate, who on advance notice is present in Court on behalf of the respondent, submits that respondent would look into the matter and do the needful.

4. Without commenting upon merits of the case, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to the respondent to look into the grievance of the petitioner and do the needful within 6 weeks from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

31.07.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>