

2023:PHHC:098786

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

115

CRM-M No.37330 of 2023
Date of Decision: 02.08.2023

Jaswinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: ***HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA***

Present:- Ms. Kiranjeet Kaur, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner has invoked the jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C for seeking the issuance of the directions to the official respondents to take appropriate action on the application/complaint (Annexure P-1) moved by him and also to protect his and his family members' lives and liberty, while averring that he apprehends threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.

2. Mr. Virat Rana, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the present petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance and on the instructions from HC Harbans Lal from Police Station Lakho ke Behram, District Ferozepur, he informs the Court that the above-mentioned complaint/application Annexure P-1 has already been looked into by the Competent Authority and the allegations, as levelled therein, have been found to be false.

3. In view of the afore-discussed submission as made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this petition, while submitting that so far as the prayer of the petitioner for issuing direction to the official respondents to take action on the complaint/application (Annexure P-1) is concerned, he (petitioner) would avail the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to him under law, to seek the redressal of his grievance, as set-forth in the same and as regards his prayer for the protection of his and his family members' lives and liberty, he would move a fresh representation to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police Ferozepur, exclusively praying therein for the above-referred protection only and she prays that the said respondent be directed to look into and decide the fresh representation of the petitioner expeditiously.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection to the above-mentioned submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn with a direction to respondent No.2 that in case the petitioner moves any fresh representation to him, restricting his prayer therein to the protection of his own and his family members' lives and liberty only, he shall look into the same and if they would be found to be genuinely deserving the said protection, then he shall take appropriate action, in accordance with law, in the given set of facts and circumstances of the matter, as expeditiously as possible.

02.08.2023
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No