

**211-1 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1.CWP-412-2015 (O&M)

Kamal Inderjit Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

2.CWP-27-2016 (O&M)

Pawanjot Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..Respondents

3.CWP-4553-2016 (O&M)

Sarwan Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..Respondents

Date of decision: 11.01.2023

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. K.S.Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-412-2015
Mr. Sohrab Dhanda, Advocate for
Mr. A.S.Manaise, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-27-2016 and CWP-4553-2016
Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

By this order, three Civil Writ Petitions I.e CWP-412-2015,
27-2016 and 4553-2016 shall stand disposed of.

In essence, the petitioners pray for issuance of a writ in the
nature of Mandamus to direct the respondents to appoint them on the post
of Agriculture Sub Inspector. They also pray for a writ in the nature of
Certiorari to quash the order passed on 04.12.2014.

The relevant facts, in brief, are required to be noticed.

Pursuant to a recruitment notice dated 11.11.2011 the applications for 238 posts of Agriculture Sub Inspector were invited. The petitioners and various other candidates applied. Out of all the applicants, 160 candidates were shortlisted. Out of them, 109 candidates were selected, however, only 91 candidates joined the aforesaid posts in 2013.

In a previous writ petition filed by the petitioners directions were issued to the competent authority to re-consider their case. The representation submitted by the petitioners has been rejected by the said authority. The respondents do not wish to fill up more posts. The petitioners have never been selected during the whole selection process. Even if the selection takes place, a candidate does not get any right to force the employer to appoint him. Reliance in this regard can be placed on '**Mani Subrat Jain etc. vs. State of Haryana and others**' 1977 SCC (1) 486.

Moreover, the recruitment notice was issued more than 11 years back. Hence, it would not be appropriate for the Court to exercise its writ jurisdiction at this stage.

Hence, dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

11.01.2023

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Whether reportable :

Yes/No

Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE