

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

239

CRR-2211-2022

Date of Decision: 14.02.2023

Naresh Pal Dahiya

.... Petitioner

Versus

Sate of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR VERMA

Present: - Mr. Ivneet Singh Pabla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

ASHOK KUMAR VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of respondent No. 2 is taken on record.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant revision petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such, because in terms of order dated 22.11.2022, passed by this Court, the petitioner had surrendered before the Appellate Court, Kurukshetra and was released on bail.

In view of the above, the instant revision petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

14.02.2023

rishu

**(ASHOK KUMAR VERMA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No