

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-22459-2018
DECIDED ON: 20.07.2023

THAKUR LAL SHARMA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Ms. Bhagyashri, Advocate for
Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate and
Ms. Gitanjali Chhabra, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner do not want to press the instant petition as he has retired on 30.09.2022, whereas respondent No.4 against whom the relief was sought, was also superannuated from the service on 30.06.2019.

In the light of the same, the counsel for the petitioner submits that he did not want to pursue the same.

Petition stands disposed of as having not been pressed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

20.07.2023
Kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No