

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(279)

CWP-19441-2020

Date of Decision : September 05, 2023

Harinder Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.S. Jattan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that he has not been paid the leave encashment for which he is entitled for hence, the respondents be directed to release the total leave encashment for which the petitioner became entitled for on attaining the age of superannuation.

2. Learned State counsel submits that in the present case, while in service, the petitioner had already availed the leave number of time, which availed leaves have already been deducted from the total number of leaves admissible for leave encashment and the remaining leaves as admissible for encashment, the benefit of the same had already been given to the petitioner hence, as of now, no further payment is required to be made to the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per his instructions, the petitioner has not been granted the benefit of leave encashment for the period he is entitled for, hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case, the petitioner finds the relevant evidence to support that the petitioner has not been paid as per his entitlement qua the leave encashment.

4. Ordered accordingly.

September 05, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No