

2023:PHHC:057813

CRM-M-38364-2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

270

CRM-M-38364-2022

Date of Decision:-April 24, 2023

Gagandeep Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Ullas Kapoor, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Roopse Sharha, Advocate for
Mr. Anil Kumar Lamdharia, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh

Ms. Kamlesh Advocate for
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for respondent No.3.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No. 58 dated 14.06.2018, registered under Sections 406 and 498-A of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Women, Chandigarh, (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 14.05.2022 (Annexure P-2).

Ms. Kamlesh, Advocate has appeared and filed Vakalatnama of Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate on behalf of respondent No.3, which is taken on record.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties entered into a compromise, this Court vide order dated 26.08.2022 directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for getting their statements recorded in that regard. Pursuant thereto, a report dated 06.12.2022 has been received from the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Chandigarh, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is voluntary and the same is without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Learned counsel for U.T. Chandigarh and learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3-complainant admit the factum of

CRM-M-38364-2022

compromise and submit that they have no objection to quashing of the FIR on that basis.

Perusal of the aforesaid report establishes that the parties have amicably settled their dispute, and continuance of criminal prosecution in such a situation will be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak. The power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. can be exercised in such matters. It has been held by Supreme Court of India in cases *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another* 2012(10) SCC 303 and *Narinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another* 2014(6) SCC 406 that criminal cases having overwhelmingly civil character, particularly those arising out of commercial transactions or matrimonial relationships or family disputes, should be quashed when the parties have resolved their disputes among themselves in a *bona fide* manner.

Consequently, this petition is allowed. FIR No. 58 dated 14.06.2018, registered under Sections 406 and 498-A of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Women, Chandigarh, (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the petitioners, subject to payment of cost of Rs. 30,000/- to be deposited by the petitioners jointly (i.e. Rs. 10,000/- each) and Rs. 25,000/- to be deposited by respondent No.3 within two weeks from today in the following account:-

Account Name – Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association Lawyer’s Family Welfare Fund.

Account No. - 41564846387

Bank Name – SBI High Court Branch.

**(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE**

April 24, 2023
Parul

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No