

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21111-2019

Date of Decision:18.07.2023

SURINDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANOTHER

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Yagyaang Ajay, Advocate for
Mr. Kawaljot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.K. Jund, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No.2 to re-issue passport to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that petitioner has been denied passport on the ground that petitioner has been convicted in a case involving misuse of passport of another person. The petitioner has already undergone the sentence, thus, his case does not fall in any prohibitor category contemplated under Section 6 (2) of Passport Act, 1967.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents No.1 and 2 submits that petitioner did not disclose factum of his conviction or pendency of FIR, thus, application of the petitioner was rejected and he is at liberty to file fresh application.

4. On being confronted with this fact, learned counsel for the

petitioner seeks liberty to file fresh application. He further prays that application may be decided in due course.

5. The present petition stands disposed of with liberty to petitioner to file fresh application which shall be decided in accordance with law.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.07.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>