

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-1786-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.03.2023**

Hari Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

Vivek Pratap Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Paramjit Singh Bal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunal Muthreja, Advocate
for respondent No. 4.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is alleging violation of the order dated 26.05.2022, passed by this Court in CWP-11616-2022, vide which, while disposing of the writ petition without commenting anything on the merits of the case, respondent No. 4 was directed to decide the legal notice of the petitioner, with a further direction that in case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for the monetary benefits, the same should also be paid to him.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 4 has placed on record a copy of the speaking order dated 22.11.2022 and submits that as per paragraph No. 4 of the contempt petition, the petitioner has claimed Rs. 16,97,130/-, which stands released to him, as per aforesaid revised speaking order.

In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Rule stands discharged.

The petitioner is granted liberty to avail the alternative remedy, in accordance with law, if so advised.

20.03.2023

Waseem Ansari

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)

JUDGE

*Yes/No
Yes/No*